





# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademärk Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	CIDST NAMED DIVIDITOR	LATTONIEW POCKETANO	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/653,437	09/01/2000	Jiuzhi Xue	57-00	5513
23713	7590 05/20/2003			
GREENLEE WINNER AND SULLIVAN P C 5370 MANHATTAN CIRCLE SUITE 201			EXAMINER	
			HANNAHER, CONSTANTINE	
BOULDER, C	O 80303		ADTIBUT	
	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2878	
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)	- M			
	Offic Action Summary	09/653,437	XUE ET AL.				
	One Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Th MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Constantine Hannaher	2878	Iress			
Period fo		.,	·				
THE   - External after - If the - If NC - Failur - Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION resions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing digital patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, ma ply within the statutory minimum o d will apply and will expire SIX (6) te, cause the application to becom	y a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this cor to ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	April 2003 .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	vance except for formal	matters, prosecution as to the	e merits is			
Disposit	ion of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1955	C.D. 11, 433 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the application	on.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>42-51</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
, —	Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	**,				
	on Papers						
• —	The specification is objected to by the Examin		V b the Francisco				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 September 2000</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
,	ander 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* (	3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a)).	Stage			
14)⊠ <i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).			
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>							
Attachmen	t(s)			•			
2) Notic	ee of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notic	riew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(se of Informal Patent Application (PTC):				

Application/Control Number: 09/653,437 Page: 2

Art Unit: 2878

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Election/Restrictions**

1. Applicant's election with traverse of the invention of Group II in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that "the same search would be required for both groups." This is not found persuasive because a search for a method of "assaying" a material is indifferent to the nature of devices which might include the material.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 1-41 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 8.

### **Information Disclosure Statement**

- 3. The information disclosure statement filed December 26, 2001 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.
- 4. The information disclosure statement filed December 26, 2001 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.
- 5. The information disclosure statement filed November 22, 2002 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for

Application/Control Number: 09/653,437 Page: 3

Art Unit: 2878

consideration by the Office. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

6. As set forth in MPEP § 609:

37 CFR 1.98(b) requires that each item of information in an IDS be identified properly. U.S. patents must be identified by the inventor, patent number, and issue date. U.S. patent application publications must be identified by the applicant, patent application publication number, and publication date. U.S. applications must be identified by the inventor, the eight digit application number (the two digit series code and the six digit serial number), and the filing date. If a U.S. application being listed in an IDS has been issued as a patent, the applicant should list the patent in the IDS instead of the application. Each foreign patent or published foreign patent application must be identified by the country or patent office which issued the patent or published the application, an appropriate document number, and the publication date indicated on the patent or published application. Each publication must be identified by publisher, author (if any), title, relevant pages of the publication, date and place of publication. The date of publication supplied must include at least the month and year of publication, except that the year of publication (without the month) will be accepted if the applicant points out in the information disclosure statement that the year of publication is sufficiently earlier than the effective U.S. filing date and any foreign priority date so that the particular month of publication is not in issue. The place of publication refers to the name of the journal, magazine, or other publication in which the information being submitted was published.

Note the errors in identifying the date of foreign patent documents and the author of a publication. Note that the inventor must be identified for U.S. applications. When a foreign patent document is listed, it is inadequate to supply only an abstract.

### **Drawings**

7. The drawings are objected to because the first sheet does not include the legends Fig. **1A** and Fig. **1B** and may not comply with 37 CFR 1.84(i) or (u)(2). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### **Specification**

8. Section 608.01 of the MPEP states in part:

Application/Control Number: 09/653,437

Art Unit: 2878

In order to minimize the necessity in the future for converting dimensions... to the metric system of measurements when using printed patents... all patent applicants should use the metric (S.I.) units followed by the equivalent English units when describing their inventions....

Page: 4

The Assistant Secretary and Commissioner of Patents and Trademark strongly reiterated and emphasized strong encouragement for patent applicants to use the metric system in patent applications in a message appearing at 1135 O.G. 55 dated February 18, 1992. At some future time, the USPTO will consider making it a requirement.

Note the use of the micron. The Examiner is unable to require the use of SI units.

9. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 25, the name of the phase has been improperly set forth.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

10. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

11. Claims 42 and 44-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claim 42 recites a method which comprises the step of "assaying" a material. The claim does not recite any other step in the method and is thus not a combination claim. Although the claim limitation uses the phrase "step of" and not the phrase "step for", the limitation is written as a function to be performed ("assaying... for the presence of a material") and does not recite sufficient acts which would preclude application of 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. To "assay" (qualitatively or quantitatively analyze a substance) corresponds to what the method claim element ultimately

Page: 5

Application/Control Number: 09/653,437

Art Unit: 2878

accomplishes and does not correspond to how the function is accomplished. MPEP § 2181. When a step recitation does not appear in combination with another recited element of step, the claim is subject to an undue breadth rejection under 35 U.S.C., first paragraph. In re Hyatt, 708 F.2d 712, 218 USPQ 195 (Fed. Cir. 1983). The claim covers every conceivable act for achieving the stated result while the specification discloses at most only those known to the inventor. MPEP § 2164.08(a).

- 12. Claims 42 and 44-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for assaying by making infrared dichroism measurements, does not reasonably provide enablement for any other method of assaying. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Clearly, one skilled in the art would have to experiment unduly to discern what assays are capable of determining the presence of the specifically recited material phase.
- 13. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 14. Claims 42-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The sole disclosed species of "assaying" provided by the specification is application of infrared dichroism measurement (page 14). Accordingly, the specification does not support any distinction between the assaying of claim 42 and the passive making of infrared dichroism measurements of claim 43. Since the scope of an independent claim and a claim dependent upon it cannot be coextensive, and since it is not apparent what might and what might not constitute an

Application/Control Number: 09/653,437

Art Unit: 2878

assay under claim 42 other than the passively recited step of measuring infrared dichroism of claim 43, the claims are indefinite.

## **Allowable Subject Matter**

15. Claim 43 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

16. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: although as admitted by applicant the making of infrared dichroism measurements on liquid crystal materials is known, there is no reasonable expectation of success that such measurements would provide information regarding the presence of the specifically recited material phase.

#### Conclusion

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Constantine Hannaher whose telephone number is (703) 308-4850. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday with flexible hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Porta can be reached on (703) 308-4852. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

ch

May 15, 2003

ON TANDITYR

constantine Hannaher

Frimary Examiner

Page: 6